

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 189 026

SP 015 526

AUTHOR Duke, Daniel I.
TITLE Introducing the Instructional Leadership Program at Stanford University.
PUB DATE 80
NOTE 8p.
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Administrative Change; *Change Strategies; Decision Making; Higher Education; Job Satisfaction; *Leadership Training; Teacher Morale; *Teacher Responsibility; *Teacher Role

ABSTRACT

The Instructional Leadership Program is designed to provide experienced teachers and other educators with opportunities to acquire or refine specific leadership skills. The program is intended to demonstrate that highly skilled teachers can function as school leaders without abandoning their classrooms. Allowing teachers to play a key part in administrative decision making will assist in teacher acceptance of such decisions, as well as easing the load on overburdened administrators. Two seminars are devoted to training in general leadership skills, staff development, assessment, and curriculum development skills, and environmental improvement and applied research skills. An outline of twenty-five specific leadership skills covered in the program is presented. (JD)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Introducing the Instructional Leadership
Program at Stanford University

Daniel L. Duke
Program Director

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Daniel L. Duke

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Stanford University's School of Education recently has established a vanguard program for educators interested in exercising local leadership. Successful completion of both coursework and a leadership project by Instructional Leadership Program participants leads to an Educational Specialist Degree (Ed.S) in Instructional Leadership.

One way to introduce the ILP is to discuss some of the assumptions underlying it.

One major assumption on which the program is based holds that talented, experienced teachers are leaving the teaching profession in substantial numbers. Such departures are all the more disturbing because economic conditions are not conducive to mid-career changes. Despite this fact, they leave. The Department of Labor has noted that the proportion of teachers with twenty or more years of experience has dropped during the seventies from over 20% to about 14%.

A number of factors are contributing to this exodus, as well as to the discouragement of those who remain. The ILP is based on the belief that two of these factors are,

1. The lack of opportunities for experienced teachers to function as educational leaders, and
2. The lack of stimulating and productive professional interactions among teachers.

ED189026

SP 015526

Another assumption undergirding the ILP is that opportunities for teachers to function as leaders can increase as a result of organizational changes in schools and special leadership training for experienced teachers. Theoretical support for this assumption comes from the work of Stanford Professor of Psychology Albert Bandura. He maintains that two essential components of behavioral change are the perception that an individual has the ability to change (perceived self-efficacy) and the belief that an opportunity to change exists (outcomes expectancy).

While the ILP cannot do much directly to alter how schools are organized, thereby creating more leadership roles for classroom teachers, it can provide leadership training for select professionals, thus indirectly stimulating organizational change. By preparing teachers to exercise local leadership, the ILP encourages teachers actually to think of themselves as school leaders. Currently, teachers interested in leadership tend to feel they must become administrators. The presence of fully trained teacher leaders should help to dispel the belief that there would be more leadership opportunities for teachers if there only were more teachers willing and able to function as leaders.

Field research at Stanford's Institute for Research on Educational Finance and Governance by Daniel L. Duke, Beverly Showers, and Michael Imber has found at least nine general areas in which teacher leadership can be exercised at the school level.¹ These include instructional coordination, curriculum development, professional development, evaluation, school improvement, rules and discipline, personnel, general administration (including

¹ Those interested in a detailed analysis of these general areas of leadership should request the following publication -- "Teachers as School Decision Makers," Stanford Institute for Research on Educational Finance and Governance, 1979.

budget and resource allocation), and policymaking. A breakdown of the categories appears in Table 1.

Earlier, the training of teacher leaders capable of functioning in these areas of schoolwide concern was justified as a means for reducing the exodus of talented teachers from classrooms. Are there other benefits, however, that might result from greater opportunities for teacher leadership? The ILP recognizes six additional areas in which schools can benefit.

1. Teacher morale and job satisfaction can be enhanced, thereby increasing the likelihood of greater productivity.
2. Leadership opportunities can serve as alternatives to leaves of absence and sabbaticals for teachers who need to leave fulltime classroom instruction for self-renewal purposes.
3. Administrative workloads can be reduced by utilizing teachers in leadership roles.
4. The costs -- material and otherwise -- of getting teachers to comply with school decisions can be reduced by involving teachers in decision making.
5. Teacher involvement in school level decision making can upgrade the quality of decisions, since teachers often have skills, insights, and information not possessed by administrators. (With tough retrenchment decisions facing most schools in the next decade, teacher involvement seems even more critical.)
6. Teacher leaders are ideally suited to fill the plethora of new, short-term managerial roles that have arisen as a result of externally funded programs presently available to local schools. These roles encompass such activities as membership on school site councils and directorship of categorical aid programs.

The hope of those in the ILP is that the program's current focus on leadership in the elementary and secondary schools eventually can be expanded to include such areas as training in business, medicine, law, engineering, and other professions. Instructional leadership is needed as well in higher education (community colleges and colleges), adult education, and the military.

Table 1
Types of Organizational Decisions

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.0 Instructional Coordination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1 Determining activities for multiple classrooms 1.2 Determining activities for teaching teams 1.3 Selecting instructional materials for more than one classroom 2.0 Curriculum Development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 Determining curriculum outcomes or goals 2.2 Selecting curriculum content 2.3 Selecting an organizational format for content 3.0 Professional Development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1 Determining professional needs and goals 3.2 Planning professional development activities 3.3 Determining preservice needs and goals 3.4 Planning preservice educational activities 3.5 Selecting professional development personnel 4.0 Evaluation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.1 Selecting methods for evaluating curriculum, programs, professional development activities, teacher effectiveness, etc. 4.2 Determining how to react to evaluation results 5.0 School Improvement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.1 Determining areas in need of improvement 5.2 Planning school improvement 5.3 Identifying resources for school improvement 6.0 Personnel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.1 Determining personnel needs 6.2 Determining criteria for selecting personnel | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.3 Selecting personnel 6.4 Determining criteria for removing personnel 6.5 Removing personnel 6.6 Assigning and reassigning personnel 7.0 Rules and Discipline <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7.1 Determining school rules 7.2 Determining consequences for rule-breaking 7.3 Resolving conflicts concerning student behavior 8.0 General Administration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8.1 Determining how to allocate space 8.2 Determining how to allocate time (scheduling) 8.3 Determining school calendar 8.4 Determining how to allocate resources 8.5 Settling employee grievances 8.6 Determining public relations priorities 8.7 Approving extra-curricular activities 8.8 Determining organizational rewards 8.9 Determining budget 8.10 Determining student placement 9.0 Policymaking <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 9.1 Determining how policy is to be made 9.2 Determining local goals for education 9.3 Determining how to comply with external mandates, legislation, etc. 9.4 Determining rules for employees 9.5 Determining program priorities 9.6 Determining by-laws |
|--|--|

Overview of the Program

The ILP provides experienced teachers and other educators interested in instructional leadership (supervisors, curriculum coordinators, administrators) with opportunities to acquire or refine specific leadership skills, participate in a broad range of courses in education and related areas, and complete a special leadership project. Students are responsible for acquiring 45 credits beyond a masters degree (or its equivalent) but the program is highly flexible, allowing individuals to choose between fulltime and part-time student status.

The ILP's core consists of two seminars in Instructional Leadership, one offered in the winter and the other in summer. These seminars permit students to focus on some of the 25 leadership skills that have been identified as being of general value to persons functioning in leadership roles. The skills include the following:

A. General Leadership Skills

1. Facilitation of group process
2. Implementing collaborative decision making
3. Direction and management of externally-funded programs

B. Staff Development Skills

4. Identification of alternative models for inservice education
5. Planning inservice activities
6. Conducting inservice activities
7. Identification of resources for staff development

C. Change Agent Skills

8. Identification of organizational factors affecting teacher and student behavior
9. Identification of alternative ways to organize schools and allocate resources
10. Determination of the "climate for change" in a school

11. Location of resources to support local innovation
12. Authoring proposals designed to obtain support for new ideas

D. Assessment Skills

13. Design and use of needs assessment
14. Evaluation of effectiveness of inservice programs
15. Evaluation for course improvement
16. Collegial evaluation
17. Evaluation of teacher effectiveness

E. Curriculum Development Skills

18. Identification of alternative ways to organize curriculum content
19. Identification of contemporary developments in particular subject matter areas
20. Identification of curriculum development strategies
21. Responding to legal and legislative decisions related to curriculum

F. Environmental Improvement Skills

22. Identification of stress and anxiety reduction strategies
23. Identification of strategies for reducing student behavior problems

G. Applied Research Skills

24. Distinguishing between appropriate and inappropriate research
25. Designing practical local research studies

ILP students conclude their work at Stanford by planning, implementing, and evaluating a leadership project in a field setting. In most cases, the field setting actually is the school in which the ILP student has worked. The project thus is intended not only to provide students with opportunities to put their ideas into practice, but actually to help establish their local

credibility as teacher leaders. Projects may vary from such traditional leadership activities as curriculum development and staff development to more avant-garde undertakings, such as the establishment of a collegial evaluation system or an alternative school.

In conclusion, the ILP epitomizes Stanford's commitment to the value of leadership at all levels of professional endeavor. The program is intended to demonstrate that highly skilled teachers, given the appropriate training and encouragement, can and will function as school leaders without having to abandon their classrooms. Besides providing a select group with advanced training, those in the ILP hope that the presence at Stanford of experienced educators will provide a valuable resource for other Stanford students and a vital link between the world of practice and the world of research.